Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/008,670	TSONTZIDIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Philip H Leung	3742
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Philip H Leung</u> .	(3)	
(2) James Witherspoon.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 March 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 35, 107, 116, 117 and 119		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
Mil Line		
(Ex∯miner/SPE Signature) (Applicant//	Applicant's Representative Signature	inature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney agreed to amend claim 35 to remove its dependency from cancelled claims 3 and 89 and to amend claims 107, 116, 117 and 119 to remove the improper multiple dependencyon claim 106 or 115, respectively, by an Examiner's Amendment (see the Amendment for details)..